

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/758,593	01/16/2004	Ryuji Nishikawa	492322015800	3268
25227 7	590 04/19/2005		EXAMI	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD			RIELLEY, ELIZABETH A	
SUITE 300 MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/758,593	NISHIKAWA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Elizabeth A. Rielley	2879				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Ja	nuary 2004					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
·						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 January 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.05(a).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Undice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pat 6) Other:	ent Application (PTO-152)				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Action	on Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 20050416				

Application/Control Number: 10/758,593

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamazaki (US 20010040645).
- 4. Yamazaki ('645) teaches an electroluminescent display device comprising: a red pixel (301; figure 2; paragraph 60), a green pixel (302) and a blue pixel (303); a red filter layer, a green filter layer and a blue filter layer that are provided for the red, green and blue pixels, respectively (304-308; figure 2; paragraph 60); an electroluminescent element having (51; figure 1; paragraph 57) a white electroluminescent emissive layer (paragraph 10) and formed above each of the red, green and blue filter layers (see figures 1 and 2); and a thin film transistor driving the electroluminescent element and provided for each of the red, green and blue pixels (202; figure 1; paragraph 57), wherein a thickness or a pigment concentration of each of the red, green and blue filter layers is adjusted so as to narrow a peak width of light passing through a corresponding filter layer so that color purity of the display device is improved (paragraph 135).

Application/Control Number: 10/758,593

Art Unit: 2879

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at

the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was

made.

6. Claim2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamazaki (US

20010040645) in view of Nakazawa (US 4685808).

7. Yamazaki ('645) describes all the limitations in the claim, as described above, except a light

transmittance of the red filter layer is 50% or lower at 584 nm, a light transmittance of the green filter

layer is 50% or lower between 482 nm and 588 nm, and a light transmittance of the blue filter layer is

50% or lower between 407 nm and 516 nm. Nakazawa ('808) teaches the use of a light transmittance of

the red filter layer is 50% or lower at 584 nm (line 7; figure 2; column 3 line 61)), a light transmittance of

the green filter layer is 50% or lower between 482 nm and 588 nm (line 5 on figure 3; column 3 line 64),

and a light transmittance of the blue filter layer is 50% or lower between 407 nm and 516 nm (line 3 on

figure 3; column 3 line 64) to efficiently discriminating the colors (column 4 line 48-51). Hence, it would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine EL device of

Yamazaki with the color filters of Nakazawa in order to efficiently discriminate the colors.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/758,593

Art Unit: 2879

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Elizabeth A. Rielley whose telephone number is 571-272-2117. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Nimeshkumar Patel can be reached on 571-272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where
this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Elizabeth Rielley

Examiner Art Unit 2879 Muriceli Santiago Au 2879 Page 4